## ARE WE DOING MORE DAMAGE TO AN ALREADY FRAGILE ENVIRONMENT -- MORE QUICKLY THAN RESEARCHERS CAN STUDY, LET ALONE POLICY-MAKERS REVERSE?

The effects of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields on our natural environment is not being adequately researched. Some species are "convenient" for laboratory testing and, of course, there are clusters and epidemiological studies in humans. Many species are not studied. Those effects which have been noted have not led to appropriate policy shifts per the "precautionary principle." Individual plants and animals, vulnerable species, ecosystems and hotspots are becoming "collateral damage" as the density of artificial frequencies and fields increases.

Biodiversity is already under threat from a number of interacting factors; EMF is just one more. The deleterious effects of the combination of threats appears to be cumulative and increasing. Whereas life evolved in verv specific windows of frequencies of non-ionizing (and ionizing) electromagnetic fields over millennia, this current onslaught of technologically-produced frequencies has radically changed the energy environment in which nature must attempt to survive.

This map offers links to some presentations on some of the known and suspected effects, as well as studies, related maps (with links) and several books. Because of the serious species extinctions occurring at present, we will probably never know what is really happening to our ecosystems and biodiversity. This map does not emphasize effects on humans, which are presented elsewhere.

